COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u>: 2745-12

BILL NO.: HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577

SUBJECT: DNR; Drycleaning solvent management; Hazardous Waste

TYPE: Original DATE: May 1, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
General Revenue	\$0	(\$1,335,209)	(\$1,000,000)	
Dry-Cleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund	\$0	\$1,050,480 to \$1,365,998	(\$1,681,516) to \$844,680	
Hazardous Waste Fund	\$2,275,564	\$2,521,256	\$2,563,689	
Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund	\$52,667	\$1,087,050	\$1,089,986	
Highway Fund	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$2,324,231**	\$3,319,577 to \$3,635,095**	\$968,159 to \$3,494,355**	

**Does not include unknown revenues due to DNR Emergency Response Cost Recovery

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
None	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 2 OF 18

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 200				
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses

This fiscal note contains 18 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

May 1, 2000

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer** and the **Department of Economic Development** (in response to Perfected SS for SCS for SB577) assume their agencies would not be fiscally impacted by this proposed legislation. Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (in response to Perfected SS for SCS for SB577) assume there would be little or no administrative impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume any costs associated with this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** assume their agency would not be fiscally impacted by this proposed legislation. However, officials noted that there would be impact on total state revenue.

SOS's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing dry cleaning facilities

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (in response to Perfected SS for SCS for SB577) assume that based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Hazardous Waste Management Commission could require as many as approximately 18 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the *Missouri Register* as in the *Code* because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in *Code*. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$22.50. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$26.50. Therefore, the estimated costs for FY 01 are \$1,084.50. The actual costs could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 3 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DOH's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing dry cleaning facilities

Officials from the **Department of Health** will request one-half of an Environmental Specialist III FTE position. This amount was estimated using information provided by the DNR regarding the number of cleanups they estimate to be done each year. In addition, office equipment, computer equipment, rent, travel expenses, and network connection fees will be requested. The costs were estimated using the Missouri Department of Health FY00 Fiscal Note Cost Data Sheet.

The new employee will conduct risk assessments to determine the risk from exposure to dry cleaner's releases and/or spills. The employee will determine health-protective cleanup levels for cleaning the site. He/she will review risk assessments and cleanup levels determined by the DNR contractors or responsible parties to assure the accuracy and completeness of those assessments. This employee will also consult with DNR staff and responsible parties and/or their representatives to clarify, make recommendations, and redesign risk assessments or reviews of risk assessments at dry cleaning establishments.

DNR's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing dry cleaning facilities

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume approximately 531 facilities (using sic code 7216 - Dry-cleaning plants, except rug cleaning) are located in the state of Missouri. 397 of these facilities submitted 1998 Emission Inventory Questionnaires to the department. Thirty-two (32) of the facilities reported using non-chlorinated solvents with an average of 1,802 gallons used per facility. 365 facilities reported using chlorinated solvents with an average of 167 gallons used per facility.

In addition, the department realizes there are dry-cleaning facilities (using sic code 7215-coin operated laundries and dry-cleaning) that would be subject to the payment of these surcharges. The department assumes there are approximately 336 coin laundries located in Missouri and it is estimated that approximately 15% or 50 of these facilities would have dry-cleaning equipment. Using the same percentage of facilities reporting usage of chlorinated versus non-chlorinated solvents to the department, the department assumes that 92% or 46 of these facilities would be using chlorinated solvents and 8% or 4 of these facilities would be using the non-chlorinated solvents.

Based on the 1998 Emission Inventory Questionnaires data regarding solvent usage, the drycleaning facilities fall into the following categories:

61.2 % fall in the category of small facilities (243 facilities out of 397 total)

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 4 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

29.2 % fall in the category of medium facilities (116 facilities out of 397 total) 9.6 % fall in the category of large facilities (38 facilities out of 397 total)

Based on this information, the department assumes the annual revenues to the Fund would be approximately:

Registration surcharges:

Small facility registration surcharges = \$136,782 per year (based on approximately 447 drycleaning facilities x 61.2 % x \$500 surcharge)

Medium facility registration surcharges = \$130,524 per year (based on approximately 447 drycleaning facilities x 29.2% x \$1,000 surcharge).

Large facility registration surcharges = \$64,368 per year (based on approximately 447 drycleaning facilities x 9.6% x \$1,500 surcharge)

\$686,370 per year assuming 411 (365 reported plus 46 - 7215 sic code) facilities using chlorinated solvents with an average of 167 gallons used per facility and a \$10 surcharge per gallon on these solvents (411 facilities x 167 gallons x \$10.00 charge per gallon)

\$32,436 per year assuming 36 (32 reported plus 4 - 7215 sic code) facilities using non-chlorinated solvents with an average of 1,802 gallons per facility and a \$0.50 surcharge per gallon on these solvents (36 facilities x 1802 gallons x \$0.50 charge per gallon)

Estimated annual revenue:

- \$ 331,674 Registration surcharge
- \$ 32,436 Dry-cleaning solvent surcharge @ \$.50 per gallon
- \$ 686,370 Dry-cleaning solvent surcharge @ \$10 per gallon
- \$1,050,480- Total estimated annual revenue

If the total universe of 531 facilities (sic code 7216) plus the appropriate percentage of drycleaning facilities included in coin-operated laundries (approximately 50 facilities sic code 7215) would be subject to the surcharges proposed in this bill, the department assumes the revenues to the Fund would be approximately:

\$177,786 per year in registration surcharges based on approximately 581 (531 sic code 7216 plus 50 sic code 7215) dry-cleaning facilities x 61.2% x \$500 surcharge.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 5 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

\$169,652 per year in registration surcharges based on approximately 581 dry-cleaning facilities x 29.2% x \$1,000 surcharge.

\$83,664 per year in registration surcharges based on approximately 581 dry-cleaning facilities x 9.6% x \$1,500 surcharge.

\$893,450 per year assuming 535 (531 total x 92% = 489 plus 46 7215 sic code) facilities using chlorinated solvents with an average of 167 gallons used per facility and a \$10 surcharge per gallon surcharge on these solvents (535 facilities x 167 gallons x \$10.00 charge per gallon)

\$41,446 per year assuming 46 (531 x 8% = 42, plus 4 - 7215 sic code) facilities using non-chlorinated solvents with an average of 1,802 gallons per facility and a \$0.50 surcharge per gallon on these solvents (46 facilities x 1802 gallons x \$0.50 charge per gallon)

\$431,102 - Registration surcharge \$41,446 - Dry-cleaning solvent surcharge @ \$.50 per gallon \$893,450 - Dry-cleaning solvent surcharge @ \$10 per gallon \$1,365,998 - Total estimated annual revenue

The legislation requires the Hazardous Waste Management Commission to develop performance standards for dry-cleaning facilities. The proposal provides additional requirements beyond existing state and federal laws. The proposal provides that the moneys in the Fund be used for the costs of administering and enforcing these standards. Therefore, the department assumes they would be required to inspect dry-cleaning facilities for compliance with these standards.

The department assumes that they would inspect 581 dry cleaning facilities every 7.5 years. An average inspection time is 40 hours based on the EPA standard for RCRA inspections (file searches, travel time, inspection, report preparation). The department also assumes ten percent of the facilities inspected would result in enforcement activities. Assuming that each enforcement case would take approximately 90 hours, the department would request two (2) Environmental Specialist III's to perform these activities [(581 facilities/7.5 yr. x 40 hours per inspection/1,800 hrs. per year) + (77 inspections x 10% x 90 hours per enforcement case/1,800 hrs. per year)].

The department assumes the Dry-Cleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund will participate in the department's Cost Allocation Fund.

The proposed legislation states that moneys in the Fund cannot be expended until July 1, 2002. Since the legislation requires the department to administer and enforce these standards, the department will be requesting general revenue for the first year to cover these costs. The department assumes general revenue will not be used for site remediation. The bill specifies the AMK:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 6 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

money in the fund can be used for cleanups effective July 1, 2002. Therefore, the department will need the resources to do site remediation beginning in FY 2003.

The department has estimated between 447 and 581 active Missouri drycleaning facilities. For the following analysis, the department will use an average 514 dry cleaners ((447 + 581)/2). Based on the experience of other states with similar programs, the department assumes that of the 514 facilities, 23% or 118 facilities will make application to the fund over the first four years.

Also based on other states information, the department assumes that 90% of the dry cleaning facilities in Missouri making application have existing contamination that would be eligible to be cleaned up using the fund. Therefore, the department assumes 106 facilities with documented contamination in Missouri, will be eligible to be cleaned up by the fund within the first four years. (118 drycleaning facilities making application x 90%).

The department assumes it will take approximately 2.5 years to complete a site remediation. Assuming a universe of 106 facilities / 4 years x 2.5 years to complete, the department would be managing approximately 26.5 projects per year. Therefore, the department would need to request two (2) Environmental Engineer III's, .5 Geologist II, and .5 Environmental Specialist III to perform these duties. These estimates do not take into consideration any sites that may make application after the fourth year.

The department assumes that they would be providing management of the Fund cleanups. The department proposes to contract the remediation activities related to the contaminated sites.

Oversight's assumptions in the reference to the component of the proposal addressing dry cleaning facilities

Oversight assumes the annual DNR expenses for professional positions, with travel, to be \$5,400 per employee. Additionally, Oversight assumes the annual ongoing expenses for clerical positions to be \$1,000 per employee. These computations are based on projections of annual expenses received from other state agencies.

Oversight has also adjusted DNR staffing costs to reflect amounts in their estimate for a similar proposal from the 1999 session, plus 1%.

Additionally, Oversight has adjusted DOH's Personal Services to reflect a more reasonable starting salary for the one-half FTE requested. DOH requested that this one-half FTE commerce in FY01, but since DNR will not start their FTE FY02, Oversight deferred the one-half FTE requested by DOH until FY02. Oversight also adjusted DOH's Expense and Equipment by eliminating the rental space. Furthermore, DOH requested all such expenses to be paid from the AMK:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 7 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Dry-Cleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund. Oversight has reflected these expenses to be paid from the General Revenue Fund for FY01 and then from the Dry-Cleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund for FY02 since expenses can be made from that fund beginning 7/1/02.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

DNR's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing Hazardous Waste

Summary of New or Revised Fee Provisions				
Anticipated continuing revenue		Total	HWRF	<u>HWF</u>
		<u>Revenue</u>		
Land Disposal Fees	\$	76,000	*\$ 45,600	\$ 30,400
Generator Fees	\$	308,979	\$ -	\$ 308,979
Cost Recovery	\$	136,500	\$ 136,500	
Interest	\$	102,543	\$ 41,017	\$ 61,526
Engineering Review	\$	130,000	\$	\$ 130,000
Permit Fees	\$	9,000	\$ -	\$ 9,000
Voluntary Cleanup Program	\$	177,679	\$177,679	
Commercial Facility Inspections	\$	124,086	\$ -	\$ 124,086
Miscellaneous	\$	45,900	\$ -	\$ 45,900
Total	\$	1,110,687	\$400,796	\$709,891

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 8 OF 18

May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION_(continued)

Anticipated new/modified revenue		<u>HWRF</u>	HWF	
Corrective Action Oversight	\$	579,197	\$	\$ 579,197
Resource Recovery Fees &				
Engineering Review	\$	24,760	\$	\$ 24,760
General Revenue	\$	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Hazardous Waste Trucks	\$	600,000**	\$	\$ 600,000
Railroads transporting hazardous				
waste or hazardous substances	\$	2,100	\$	\$ 2,100
Generator Registration Fee	\$	591,400	\$	\$ 591,400
Generator Category Tax	\$	2,630,000**	*\$1,578,000	\$1,052,000
Emergency Response Cost Recove	ery	unknown***		unknown
Total	\$	5,427,457	\$2,578,000	\$2,849,457

GRAND TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED \$6,538,144

Hazardous Waste Trucks = \$200,000 increase over current revenues

Generator Category Tax = \$1,130,000 increase over current revenues

Anticipated continuing revenue

These are fees and revenue sources to the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund and the Hazardous Waste Fund authorized under current law and not affected by the bill. The bill does split revenue from the Land Disposal Fee between both funds, whereas current law deposits this fee to the Remedial Fund.

Anticipated new/modified revenue

These are new or modified revenue sources to the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund and the Hazardous Waste Fund under the bill. Assumptions used to estimate revenue for each one are listed below:

^{*}Per bill, these revenues are to be split 40% to the Hazardous Waste Fund and 60% to the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund.

^{**}For calculation of impact to Total State Revenues:

^{***}We would expect increased revenues from emergency response cost recovery; however, the department is unable to determine what the increased revenues would be from future emergency response cost recoveries.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 9 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

<u>CORRECTIVE ACTION OVERSIGHT</u> – Authorized at Section 260.375 in the bill. Estimated revenue is based on actual and projected engineering staff time required to review corrective action plans, reports, documents, and conduct associated field work. Engineering staff time is multiplied by 2.5 to cover indirect costs of this work. This is consistent with current methods for recovering the department's costs to review permit applications for hazardous waste facilities.

RESOURCE RECOVERY FEES & ENGINEERING REVIEW – Authorized at Section 260.395 in the bill. Estimated revenue for the application fee is based on the current number of resource recovery facilities that recover their own waste and the number of facilities that recover waste from off-site. It is assumed that current regulations requiring these facilities to renew their applications every two years will remain in effect. Estimated revenue for reviewing these applications is based on actual and projected engineering staff time multiplied by 2.5 to cover indirect costs.

<u>GENERAL REVENUE</u> – Authorized at Section 260.479 in the bill which specifically directs that the department director shall annually request a minimum of \$1 million in General Revenue to be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund. The department has assumed that this GR will be appropriated annually.

<u>HAZARDOUS WASTE TRUCKS</u> – Authorized at Section 260.395 in the bill which assesses an annual application fee and an annual tonnage/mileage fee on transporters of hazardous waste sufficient to generate \$600,000. Railroads are exempted from these fees. The department assumes that regulations to implement these fees will generate as close as possible to \$600,000 annually. Current fees on hazardous waste transporters have historically generated about \$400,000 per year, but are based on the number of vehicles and are limited to a statutory maximum of \$100 per vehicle. Therefore, the department assumes that the \$600,000 revenue target in this bill will increase fees for some transporters and reduce fees for others.

RAILROADS TRANSPORTING HAZARDOUS WASTE OR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

– Authorized at Section 260.395 in the bill which establishes a \$350 annual fee for any railroad corporation that transports any hazardous waste or hazardous substance. There were six railroads certified to transport hazardous waste in FY99, but the department has no information regarding how many additional railroads might transport hazardous substances. Therefore, it is assumed that at least six railroad corporations will pay \$350 per year, totaling \$2,100 in revenue for these fees.

GENERATOR REGISTRATION FEE – Authorized at Section 260.380 in the bill. This is a new fee of \$100 due when each hazardous waste generator first registers with the department, and \$100 annually thereafter to maintain an active registration. It is estimated there will be new and renewal registrations for 4,089 Missouri generators each year, and new and renewal registrations AMK:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 10 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

for 1,825 out-of-state generators each year. This totals 5,914 registrations and revenue of \$591,400 per year.

GENERATOR CATEGORY TAX – Authorized at Section 260.479 in the bill. Eliminates the category tax revenue target from law and eliminates the five categories of waste based on tonnage for purposes of the category tax. It leaves the two subdivisions of waste subject to the tax based on management method (subdivision A and subdivision B waste). Establishes a \$50 minimum category tax for individual generators. Establishes the category tax formula in law (currently in rule only). Increases the category site caps for subdivision A and subdivision B waste from \$50,000 and \$25,000 to \$80,000 and \$40,000, respectively. Removes the category tax exemption for fuel blenders. Assesses the fuel blender tax at the cement kiln and caps the kiln fee at \$80,000. Provides that the category tax may be adjusted annually by the commission by an amount not to exceed 2.55% (Section 260.479.2 and 260.479.5).

EMERGENCY RESPONSE COST RECOVERY - Authorized at Section 260.500 in the bill. This allows the department to recover a proportionate share of the administrative costs associated with emergency response cost recoveries. While the revenues are expected to increase with this added provision in the bill, the department is unable to determine how much the cost recovery revenues would increase since future emergency response activities cannot predicted.

The legislation ensures the continuation of services that protect the public and the environment from unsafe hazardous waste management practices and exposure to contaminated sites. These services include:

- Registering hazardous waste generators
- Tracking the transportation of hazardous waste and licensing hazardous waste transporters
- Permitting and certifying facilities that treat, store, dispose or recycle hazardous waste
- Ensuring compliance with hazardous waste requirements
- Responding to environmental emergencies
- Identifying and investigating hazardous substance releases
- Overseeing cleanups at contaminated sites and facilities
- Maintaining and publishing a Registry of sites contaminated with hazardous waste

The increased fees would allow the department to request resources that would be responsible for estimating the economic cost of damages to the state's natural resources from contamination so that these damages can be recovered from the parties responsible for the contamination.

In addition, the department would also be able to request resources that would be responsible for developing and implementing an electronic geographic information system that consolidates and

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 11 OF 18 May 1, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

maps data on hazardous waste facilities and contaminated sites, so that this information is available to the public.

SOS's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing Hazardous Waste

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (in response to Perfected SS for SCS for SB577) assume that based on experience with other boards, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Department of Natural Resources could require as many as approximately 38 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the *Missouri Register* as in the *Code* because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in *Code*. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$22.50. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$26.50. Therefore, the estimated costs for FY 01 are \$2,289.50. The actual costs could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn.

MoDOT's assumptions in reference to Hazardous Waste

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** (MoDOT) assume Section 260.380.1.(1) requires the payment of \$100 per year for any hazardous waste generators. MoDOT currently has 40 of these generators, for an additional cost of \$4,000. Other provisions of this legislation may cause additional impact; however, the impact can not be determined. One such provision is 260.395, which bases the fees on tonnage, mileage, or a combination of tonnage and mileage.

Oversight's assumptions in reference to the component of the proposal addressing Hazardous Waste

Oversight assumes the FTE requested by Department of Natural Resources represent an expansion of current duties; therefore, such request should be made through the normal budgetary process. Oversight has not included these cost in the fiscal impact for this proposal.

In reference to the Generator Category Tax, the Corrective Action Oversight and the Resource Recovery Fees and Engineering Review, Oversight assumes these revenues will increase by 2.5% in FY's 02 and 03 and has computed such fees using this percentage.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 12 OF 18 May 1, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
Cost - Department of Natural Resources			
Personal Service - (5 FTE)	\$0	(\$185,894)	\$0
Fringe Benefits	\$0	(\$57,162)	\$0
Expense and Equipment	\$ <u>0</u>	(57,428)	<u>\$0</u>
Total <u>Cost</u> - DNR	\$0	(\$300,484)	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
	(10 Mo.)		
Cost - Department of Health			
Personal Service - (0.5 FTE)	\$0	(\$16,888)	\$0
Fringe Benefits	\$0	(\$5,193)	\$0
Expense and Equipment	\$0	(\$12,644)	\$0
Total <u>Cost</u> - DOH	\$0	(\$34,725)	\$0
Loss - General Revenue Fund			
Appropriated to Hazardous Waste			
Remedial Fund	\$0	(\$1,000,000)	(\$1,000,000)
	·		
Estimated Net Effect on			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	(\$1,335,209)	(\$1,000,000)
DRY-CLEANING ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TRUST FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
Income - Department of Natural Resources		#1 0 # 0 1 00	01.050.100
Registration and solvent fees		\$1,050,480	\$1,050,480
	Φ.0	to	to
	\$0	\$1,365,998	\$1,365,998

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 13 OF 18 May 1, 2000	7		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 20	02 FY 2003
Cost - Department of Natural Resources Reimbursements for cleanup costs			\$0 to
	\$0	\$0	(\$2,210,678)
Cost - Department of Natural Resources Personal Service - (8 FTE) Fringe Benefits Expense and Equipment	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	(\$329,982) (101,469) (61,652)
Total <u>Cost</u> - DNR	\$0	\$0	(\$493,103)
Cost - Department of Health Personal Service - (0.5 FTE) Fringe Benefits Expense and Equipment	\$0 \$0 <u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0 \$0	(\$17,310) (\$5,323) (\$5,582)
Total <u>Cost</u> - DOH	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	(\$28,215)
Estimated Net Effect on DRY-CLEANING ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TRUST FUND	<u>=</u> <u>\$0</u>	\$1,050,480 to \$1,365,998	(\$1,681,516) to \$844,680
HAZARDOUS WASTE FUND			
Income - DNR	00 000 004	Φ Δ ΕΔ4 ΔΕ <	Φ 2. F (2 . (0 0

\$2,275,564* \$2,521,256 \$2,563,689

HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIAL FUND

New/Increased Revenues

L.R. NO.	2745-12
BILL NO.	HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577
PAGE 14 OF	18
May 1, 2000	

Estimate Net Effect on HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIAL FUND	\$52,667 *	\$1,087,050	\$1,089,986
New/Increased Revenues	\$52,667	\$87,050	\$89,986
Income - DNR Appropriated from General Revenue	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 200	FY 2003

*Certain fee increases prorated, could vary depending on timing of collections

HIGHWAY FUND

Cost - MoDOT Expense and Equipment	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003

\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposed legislation establishes fees for dry cleaners and dry-cleaning fluids. Fees paid into the fund established under this legislation would be available to small businesses for site remediation, subject to the deductibles specified in the bill.

The proposed legislation also increases the paperwork requirements on small businesses through registration reporting and maintenance of records for surcharge payments.

Additionally, DNR noted that in reference to the hazardous waste component, the proposal imposes a \$100 annual registration fee and a \$50 minimum category tax on generators of hazardous waste. Some of these generators are small businesses.

Because the bill removes the current statutory exemption for fuel blenders, there may be some small business fuel blenders whose tax burden will increase.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 15 OF 18 May 1, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business(continued)

Small businesses may be affected by an increase or decrease in license fees to transport hazardous waste. Paperwork requirements for small business transporters may increase if the transporter license fees are based on mileage, rather than tonnage.

DESCRIPTION

Hazardous waste generators would pay a \$100 registration fee upon initial registration and a \$100 registration renewal fee annually. The fees would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund.

Hazardous waste transporter licenses would require an annual application fee, plus an annual use fee based on tonnage and mileage. The fees would be set to generate \$600,000 annually to be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund.

Any person, before constructing, altering or operating a resource recovery facility would file an application for a certification and a fee of not more than \$500 to \$1,000. The fees would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund. The department would review such application and the applicant would pay to the department all reasonable costs incurred by the department pursuant to this application. These funds would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund.

Any railroad corporation transporting hazardous waste would pay an annual fee of \$350. The fees would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund.

60% of hazardous waste generator fees of \$25 per ton would be transmitted to the DOR to be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund and 40% of such fees would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund. This pertains to penalties and interest too, if applicable.

The Hazardous Waste Management Commission would establish two subdivisions of hazardous waste. For subdivision A waste, fees should not exceed \$80,000 and for subdivision B waste, fees should not exceed \$40,000. The director would annually request that a minimum of \$1 million be appropriated from General Revenue for deposit in the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund.

Fees on hazardous waste fuel produced by processing would be assessed and collected only at the facility where the fuel is utilized as a substitute for other fuel. No facility would pay more than \$80,000 annually.

60% of hazardous waste generator fees would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund and 40% would be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 16 OF 18 May 1, 2000

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>(continued)

No hazardous waste generator tax or fee would be levied after January 1, 2005. (January 1, 2004 under current law).

DNR costs of control, abatement, analysis, cleanup, and investigation in responding to hazardous substance emergencies would be paid from the Hazardous Waste Fund. Moneys received would be paid to the Hazardous Waste Fund, rather than the Hazardous Waste Remedial Fund.

The Hazardous Waste Management Commission would promulgate and adopt rules and regulations, effective no later than July 1, 2002, to establish standards for drycleaning facilities. Prior to the promulgation of the rules, the Commission would meet with representatives of the drycleaning industry and other interest parties. The Commission would establish criteria to prioritize the expenditure of funds from the Drycleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund.

The director of the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) could bring civil damages action against any person violating the standards. The civil damages could be assessed in an amount not to exceed \$500 for each violation.

Each operator of an active drycleaning facility would register with DNR on a form provided by DNR.

The Drycleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund would be created. All moneys received from the environmental response surcharges, fees, gifts, bequests, donations and moneys recovered by the state would be deposited into the fund. Moneys in the fund would be expended only for administration, enforcement and corrective action. On and after July 1, 2002, moneys in the fund would be used to address contamination resulting from drycleaning solvent releases.

Any agent of DNR could enter onto any property to take corrective action. An operator could be responsible for up to 100% of the costs of corrective action under certain conditions.

The fund would not be liable for costs in excess of \$1 million at any one contaminated site. The fund would not be liable for costs for any one site in excess of 25% of total moneys in the fund during any fiscal year.

The owner or operator of the facility would be liable for the first \$25,000 of corrective action costs. The owner of an abandoned site would be liable for the first \$25,000 or corrective action costs.

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 17 OF 18 May 1, 2000

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>(continued)

Every active drycleaning facility would pay an annual drycleaning facility registration surcharge, ranging from \$500 to \$1,500, depending on the solvents used. The surcharge would be reported and paid to the DNR on an annual basis. All moneys collected by DNR would be transmitted to the Department of Revenue (DOR) for deposit in the Drycleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund. A penalty of 15% of the registration surcharge would be imposed for failure to pay the surcharge.

Every seller or provider of drycleaning solvent would pay a drycleaning solvent surcharge on the sale or provision of drycleaning solvent. The surcharge would be an amount equal to the product of the solvent factor for the drycleaning solvent and the rate of ten dollars per gallon. The drycleaning solvent surcharge would be paid to DNR on a quarterly basis. DNR would remit the moneys to the DOR for deposit in the Drycleaning Environmental Response Trust Fund. Failure to pay would result in a 15% penalty. DNR could impose interest at 10% on the unpaid amount.

If the unobligated principal of the fund equals or exceeds \$5 million on April first of any year, the active drycleaning facility registration surcharge and the solvent surcharge would not be collected on or before the next July first until the unobligated principal balance of the fund equals \$2 million or less. The State Treasurer would notify DNR by April fifth of each year the unobligated balance of the fund.

The drycleaning provisions would expire August 28, 2007.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space, but could duplicate other regulations. DNR noted that the dry-cleaning industry is already regulated under the hazardous waste law (Section 260.350 through 260.481) and the Hazardous Waste Management Commission; however, this legislation provides greater specificity in the regulation of these facilities. Portions of this proposed legislation duplicate existing state and federal laws (SB 577 component).

L.R. NO. 2745-12 BILL NO. HCS for SS for SCS for SB 577 PAGE 18 OF 18 May 1, 2000

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health
Department of Economic Development
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Revenue
Department of Transportation
Office of Administration
Budget and Planning
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Treasurer
Office of Attorney General

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director May 1, 2000